

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/879,905	(06/14/2001	Yasuhiro Shimada	35.C15451	35.C15451 5559	
5514	7590	03/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITZPATR 30 ROCKE		LA HARPER &	YAM, STE	YAM, STEPHEN K		
•	EW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2878		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ØK
Advisory Action	09/879,905	SHIMADA ET AL.	Ţ
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Yam	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ac	ldress
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	olication. A proper r	eply to a lication in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ir than SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	e of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of ed statutory period for reply originally set	the fee. The appropriate to the final Office action;	extension fee under or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	nt's Brief must be filed within th FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismiss	e period set forth in al of the appeal.	
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	ther consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by n	naterially reducing o	r simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number	of finally rejected cla	aims.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	· · · ——-		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely fi	led amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does I	NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which v	vere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)□ will not be entered o would be rejected is provided t	or b) \boxtimes will be enterested or appended.	ed and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7,9,17-19 and 23-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapproved	by the Examiner.	11
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	1.1-
10. Other:			MY
			NH X. LUU 'EXAMINER
		22-	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive, the proposed amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance, thus the Advisory Action is proper..